1	McCLELLAND LAW OFFICES, APC	
2	W. ROD McCLELLAND, JR., Cal Bar No. 1797 2273 E. Beechwood Avenue, Suite 103	771
3	Fresno, California 93720 Telephone: 559.322.2224	
4	Facsimile: 559.322.2227	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff DANNY RODRIGUEZ	
6	VILLARREAL HUTNER PC	
7	TRACY S. TODD, ESQ., Cal. Bar No. 172884 E-Mail: ttodd@vhattorneys.com	
8	575 Market Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94105	
9	Telephone: 415.543.4200 Facsimile: 415.512.7674	
10	Attorney for Defendant FRITO-LAY, INC. (erroneously sued as	
11	"FRITO LAY")	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION	
14		
15	DANNY RODRIGUEZ,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01380-AWI-GSA
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION ORDER TO ALTER THE SCHEDULING ORDER
17	V.	SCHEDCERIO ORDER
18	FRITO LAY and DOES 1 TO 25, inclusive,	TRIAL: October 19, 2010 TIME: 8:30 a.m.
19	Defendants.	CTRM: #2 (8 th Floor)
20	Detendants.	GARY S. AUSTIN U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21		C.S. WITGISTRATIL VODGE
22		
23		
24	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 26(f) and the Court's	
25	Scheduling Order, the parties, Plaintiff Danny Rodriguez ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Frito-Lay,	
26	Inc. ("Frito-Lay") do hereby submit this Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order To Alter	
27	Scheduling entered on October 14, 2009.	
28	Currently, the non-expert discovery deadline is February 26, 2010. Because this is	
	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01380-AWI-GSA	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO ALTER THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Danny Rodriguez v. Frito-Lay, Inc.

Doc 20

1	a case that involves multiple health care providers who have either treated or evaluated Plaintiff
2	for the condition at issue in this case, the parties anticipate that the depositions of five to seven
3	health care providers may be necessary. The parties wish to extend the non-expert discovery
4	deadline solely for the purpose of deposing Plaintiff's treating or evaluating health care providers,
5	Roger Babb, Mike Castaneda, and Dale Clay. The discovery extension would not apply to any
6	other type of non-expert discovery, including other depositions or written discovery. The purpose
7	for this request is two-fold. Plaintiff's counsel is unavailable to attend any depositions of any of
8	the treating or evaluating health care providers or to take the depositions of three witnesses, Roger
9	Babb, Mike Castaneda, and Dale Clay, during the month of February, 2010. Plaintiff's counsel is
10	a solo practitioner and is currently opposing two separate motions for summary judgment. He is
11	scheduled to be in deposition or otherwise working on these matters for the entire month of
12	February. As such, he is unable to schedule or attend the deposition of any treating or evaluating
13	health care provider during the month of February. In addition, most health care providers charge
14	an hourly rate ranging from \$350.00 to \$600.00 per hour to appear for deposition. The parties
15	would like additional time to explore potential resolution of this case prior to incurring these
16	significant costs. As such, the parties respectfully request that the deadline for completing the
17	depositions of Plaintiff's treating and evaluating health care providers and of Roger Babb, Mike
18	Castaneda, and Dale Clay be continued until April 27, 2010, which is approximately 25 weeks
19	prior to the ordered trial date of October 19, 2010.
20	Similarly, the parties wish to continue the deadlines relating to expert discovery for the

the same reasons. Currently, expert disclosures are due on March 5, 2010, supplemental expert disclosures are due on March 26, 2010, and expert discovery closes on April 30, 2010. The parties respectfully request these deadlines be continued until March 26, 2010, April 16, 2010, and May 21, 2010, respectively, which are approximately more than 4 weeks prior to the ordered Dispositive Motion Deadline of June 18, 2010. The parties further agree that the disclosure of expert testimony under FRCP 26(a)(2)(c)(i) should be 30 days prior to trial (i.e., approximately September 17, 2010), and rebuttal evidence under FRCP 26(a)(2)(c)(ii) should be 15 days prior to trial (i.e., October 4, 2010). The parties further request that the date by which Defendant must

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	move to compel an independent mental examination of Plaintiff be continued until May 15, 2010.	
2	Respectfully submitted, and	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4	Dated: February 22, 2010 McCLELLAND LAW OFFICES	
5		
6	By	
7	W. ROD McCLELLAND, JR.	
8	Attorney for Plaintiff DANNY RODRIGUEZ	
9		
10	Dated: February 22, 2010 VILLARREAL HUTNER PC	
11		
12	By <u>/s/ Tracy S. Todd</u>	
13	Attorney for Defendant, FRITO-LAY, INC.	
14	ORDER	
15	Upon the Court's review, the stipulation is adopted IN PART. The parties have until	
16		
17	April 27, 2010, to conclude the depositions of Plaintiff's treating and evaluating health care	
18	providers and of witnesses Roger Babb, Dale Clay, and Mike Castaneda. For all other non-expert	
19	discovery matters, the deadline of February 26, 2010, remains unchanged. Further, expert	
20	disclosures are now due on March 26, 2010, supplemental expert disclosures are now due on April	
21	16, 2010, and expert discovery closes on and May 21, 2010. Defendant must move to compel an	
22	independent mental examination of Plaintiff by May 15, 2010.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	DATED: February 24, 2010	
26	/s/ Gary S. Austin THE HONODARI E GARY S. AUSTIN	
27	THE HONORABLE GARY S. AUSTIN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28		