

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
8

9 PACIFIC MARINE CENTER, INC.  
10 et al.,

Plaintiffs,

11 vs.

12 SCOTT SILVA, et. al,

13 Defendants.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

CASE NO. CV F 09-1409 LJO JLT

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO  
FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING**

15 On August 12, 2011, plaintiffs filed a request to file supplemental briefing in sur-reply to the  
16 three pending motions for summary judgment.

17 The Court DENIES the request. This Court is overburdened with in excess of 1300 cases, with  
18 each addressing significant and important legal issues and facts as in the current case. The Court finds  
19 that plaintiffs' 15-page "Request for Leave to File a Supplemental Brief" sufficiently outlines plaintiffs'  
20 areas of concern with each defendant's reply brief and supporting documentation that the Court does not  
21 require supplemental briefing. In the interest of judicial economy and the effective administration of  
22 justice, the Court DENIES the request for supplemental briefing. Should the Court find after further  
23 review that additional clarification is needed, the Court will request briefing from counsel.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 **Dated: August 15, 2011**

**/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**