(HC) Click v.	v. Cate II	
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		A TEG DIGERRACE COLUDE
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	,	N. CHI C 00 2450 WILL D
11	,	No. CIV S-09-2159 KJM P
12		
13		
14		<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. In her application, petitioner challenges the	
18	execution of her sentence. She is confined in Madera County which lies within the jurisdiction	
19	of the Fresno division of this court. When a prisoner challenges the execution of his sentence,	
20	the preferable venue is the court with jurisdiction over the place where the prisoner is confined.	
21	See Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989).	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
23	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper	
24	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the	
25	court.	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 5

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno; and 2. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 1130 "O" Street Fresno, CA 93721 DATED: August 13, 2009. 1/kly clic2159.104