

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
910 ANTHONY GASTON, CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01434-AWI-SKO PC  
11 v. Plaintiff, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
12 TOMMY REDMON, OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN 30 DAYS  
13 Defendant.  
1415 Plaintiff Anthony Gaston (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights  
16 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this Court pursuant to 28  
17 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 302.18 On February 11, 2010, the Court screened Plaintiff’s complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
19 1915A. (Doc. 9.) The Court found that Plaintiff’s complaint failed to state any claims upon which  
20 relief may be granted under Section 1983. Plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and Plaintiff was  
21 given leave to file an amended complaint within 30 days. On March 4, 2010 Plaintiff was granted  
22 a thirty (30) day extension of time to file his amended complaint. Plaintiff has not filed an amended  
23 complaint.24 The February 11, 2010 screening order informed Plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint.  
25 Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint and the Court will therefore recommend dismissal of  
26 the claims raised in Plaintiff’s complaint with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief  
27 may be granted. See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2007) (recognizing longstanding  
28 rule that leave to amend should be granted unless the court determines that the pleading could not

1 possibly be cured by the allegation of other facts); Noll v. Carlson, 809 F.2d 1446, 1448 (9th Cir.  
2 1987) (pro se litigant must be given leave to amend his or her complaint unless it is absolutely clear  
3 that the deficiencies of the complaint could not be cured by amendment).

4 Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for failure to  
5 state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

6 These Findings and Recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
7 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty (30)  
8 days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, any party may file written  
9 objections with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
10 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections  
11 shall be served and filed within ten (10) days after service of the objections. The parties are advised  
12 that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
13 Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: April 28, 2010

17 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28