1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	SEAN G. TRACEY,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01527-SMS	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR	
11	V.	ATTORNEYS' FEES UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)	
12	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,		
13	Defendant.	(Doc. 22)	
14	/		
15 16	Plaintiff moves the Court to grant attorneys' fees of \$7636.00, under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b).		
10	Defendant Commissioner has filed a brief taking no position on Plaintiff's request. Having		
17	reviewed the motion and its supporting documentation, as well as the case file, this Court awards		
10	the requested attorneys' fees.		
20	I. Legal and Factual Background On August 11, 2009, Plaintiff and the Law Offices of Lawrence D. Rohlfing ("attorneys")		
20			
21	entered a contingent fee agreement, providing	payment to attorneys of twenty-five per cent of	
23	Plaintiff's past due benefits in the event the case was won. On August 27, 2009, Plaintiff filed a		
24	complaint in this Court appealing Defendant's denial of his application for disability insurance		
25	benefits. By a judgment entered March 15, 20	011, this Court remanded the matter to the agency	
26	for further proceedings. On December 23, 2011, Administrative Law Judge Edward C. Graham		
27	determined that Plaintiff had been under a disability since July 28, 2005.		
28	///		

On January 20, 2012, the agency notified Plaintiff of the award of monthly disability
benefits beginning in July 2005. Twenty-five per cent of Plaintiff's past due benefit total of
\$52,956.80 equals \$13,239.20. Previously, the parties stipulated and the Court ordered paid to
Plaintiff a fee of \$4500.00 under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA). Plaintiff now requests
attorneys' fees of \$13,000.

II. Discussion

6

7

8

9

10

Whenever a court renders judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment

42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A).

11 The Court must review contingent-fee arrangements "as an independent check, to assure 12 that they yield reasonable results in particular cases." Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 807 13 (2002). Section 406(b) "instructs courts to review for reasonableness" fees yielded under 14 contingent fee agreements, taking into account both the character of the representation and the 15 results achieved. *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808. Congress has provided a single guideline: 16 Contingency agreements are unenforceable to the extent that they provide for fees in excess of twenty-five per cent of past-due benefits. Id. at 807. Within the twenty-five percent corridor, the 17 18 attorney for a successful claimant must demonstrate that the fee is reasonable for the services that 19 he or she provided. *Id.*

20 "[D]istrict courts generally have been deferential to the terms of contingency fee contracts in § 406(b) cases." Hearn v. Barnhart, 262 F.Supp.2d 1033, 1037 (N.D.Cal. 2003). Attorneys 21 22 who agree to represent claimants pursuant to a contingent fee agreement assume the risk of 23 receiving no compensation for their time and effort if the action does not succeed. *Id.* Here, 24 Plaintiff's attorneys accepted substantial risk of loss in representing Plaintiff, whose application 25 had already been denied at the administrative level. Plaintiff agreed to the contingent fee. 26 Working efficiently and effectively, the attorneys secured a remand, and ultimately, the award of 27 substantial benefits to Plaintiff.

28 ///

1	
2	

III. Conclusion and Order

Accordingly, this Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's petition for attorneys' fees of \$13,000.00, net of the previously awarded fee of \$4500.00 under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), for a total amount payable to Plaintiff's attorneys of \$8500.00. The balance of funds withheld from Plaintiff's past due benefits, \$4739.20, shall be paid to Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

8	Dated: <u>June 6, 2012</u>	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3