Rodrigo Flores v. N	Merced Irrigation District et al	Doc. 134
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	RODRIGO FLORES, CASE NO	O. CV F 09-1529 LJO DLB
10	 	AFTER SETTLEMENT
11	VS.	
12	MERCED IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	The parties have informed the Court that a settlement has been reached in this case and has been	
16	approved by the appropriate governing body. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 160, this Court	
17	ORDERS the parties, no later than April 18, 2011, to file appropriate papers to dismiss or conclude	
18	this action in its entirety.	
19	This Court VACATES all pending dates and matters.	
20	Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for the imposition of sanctions on counsel or	
21	parties who contributed to violation of this order. See Local Rule 160 and Local Rule 272.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: March 17, 2011 /s/ Lawren	sce J. O'Neill S DISTRICT JUDGE
24	OMTED STATE	S DISTRICT SODGE
25		
26		
27		
28		