

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 MIKHEIL J. LEINWEBER,

CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01535-GBC PC

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
COMPEL

11 v.

12 A. DAY, et al.,

(Doc. 18)

13 Defendants.

FIFTEEN-DAY DEADLINE

14
15 /
16 Plaintiff Mikheil J. Leinweber ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
17 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding against
18 Defendants Day and Cao for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs in violation of the
19 Eighth Amendment and against Defendant Day for deprivation of basic necessities in violation of
20 the Eighth Amendment and retaliation in violation of the First Amendment.21 On December 27, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel production of documents.
22 Defendants have failed to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion. Local
23 Rule 230(l).

24 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

25 1. Defendants shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Plaintiff's
26 motion to compel within **fifteen (15) days** from the date of service of this order; and

27 ///

28 ///

1 2. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions deemed
2 appropriate by the Court. Local Rule 210.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: January 21, 2011

5 
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28