Doc. 3

of serious physical injury.1

The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's complaint and finds that Plaintiff does not meet the imminent danger exception.² Andrews v. Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1053 (9th Cir. 2007). Because Plaintiff is not under imminent danger of serious physical injury, he is ineligible to proceed in forma pauperis in this action, and is precluded from proceeding on his complaint absent the submission of the filing fee in full.

Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed, without prejudice to re-filing if accompanied by the \$350.00 filing fee.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 9, 2010 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ The Court takes judicial notice of case numbers: 3:06-cv-05992-SI, Smith v. Holm, (N. D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 01/22/2007); 1:07-cv-00509-LJO-SMS, Smith v. Does, et al., (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 05/04/2007); 1:06-cv-00310-AWI-DLB PC, Smith v. Scribner, et al., (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 05/09/2007); 1:07-cv-00531-AWI-SMS, Smith v. Social Security Administrative Office, Employees, (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 05/09/2007); 1:06-cv-01434-LJO-DLB PC, Smith v. Board of Prison Term Personnel, et al., (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 08/16/2007); 1:06-cv-01799-OWW-GSA PC, Smith v. Chrones, et al., (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 11/20/2008); 1:06-cv-01219-SMS-PC, Smith v. Knowles, (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on 01/27/2009).

² The Court expresses no opinion on the merits of Plaintiff's claim.