

1 Sengthiene Bosavanh, Esq. #249801
2 Law Offices of Jeffrey Milam
3 P.O. Box 26360
4 Fresno, California 93729
5 (559) 264-2800

6 Attorney for Plaintiff

7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
8 THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
10 Somkith Chanthavong,)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.)
13 Commissioner of Social)
14 Security,)
15 Defendant.)

CASE NO. 1:09-cv-1561 SKO

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT
PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF

16
17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties as follows:

18 Plaintiff shall be granted a first-time 30-day extension to submit Plaintiff's Reply Brief.

19 The reasons for this extension are Plaintiff's staffing issues, counsel's workload demands, and
20 the number and complexity of the issues in this case. The current due date for Plaintiff's Reply

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Brief is June 3, 2010. The new due date for the Reply Brief will be **July 3, 2010**.

2

3 Dated: 06/11/2010

/s/ Sengthiene Bosavanh

4

SENGTHIENE BOSAVANH, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff

5

Dated:

6

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney

7

8

By: /s/ Shea L. Bond
(as authorized via telephone on 6/09/10)
SHEA L. BOND
Assistant Regional Counsel

9

10

11

12

ORDER

13

14

The Court notes that Plaintiff is requesting to file its Reply Brief past the deadline. The Court encourages and expects counsel to keep themselves informed of upcoming deadlines, so as to file any request for extension in a timely manner.

15

16

17

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's request to file a Reply Brief past the deadline is GRANTED *nunc pro tunc*, and Plaintiff's reply brief shall be due on **July 3, 2010**. Any future request for extension of time past the due date shall be viewed with disfavor by the Court.

18

19

20

21

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22

Dated: June 15, 2010

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23

24

25

26

27

28