(HC) Somers	s v. Clark	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	KEITH A. SOMERS,	
11	Petitioner,	No. 2:09-cv-2403 JFM (HC)
12	VS.	
13	KEN CLARK, Warden,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	_	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma	
18	pauperis. In his application, petitioner challenges a decision by the Board of Parole Hearings	
19	issued in Kings County. Kings County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District	
20	Court for the Eastern District of California. <u>See</u> Local Rule 3-120(d).	
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
22	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper	
23	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the	
24	court. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
25	/////	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 5

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis; 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno; and 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 DATED: September 8, 2009. /kly;001 some2403.109