1	APPELL HÎLAIRE BERNARDO LLP 15233 Ventura Blvd., Suite 420		
2			
3	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 (818) 788-2300		
4	Fax: (818) 788-2464 E-Mail: <u>barry@ahblegal.com</u>		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Terry Sandres		
6	PAUL M. GLEASON State Bar No.: 155569		
7	RICHARD Y. CHEN State Bar No.: 225392 KATHY H. GAO State Bar No.: 259019		
8	GLEASON & FAVAROTE, LLP 835 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200		
9	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 452-0510		
10	Facsimile: (213) 452-0514 pgleason@gleasonfavarote.com		
11	rchen@gleasonfavarote.com kgao@gleasonfavarote.com		
12	Attorneys for Defendants		
13	Corrections Corporation of America and CCA of Tennessee, LLC		
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COUF	RT
15 16	UNITED STATE EASTERN DISTRICT OF C.		
16		ALIFORNIA, FRES	
16 17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C.	ALIFORNIA, FRES) Case No. 1:09-cv)) STIPULATION	NO DIVISION 7-01609-OWW-DLB 7-AND ORDER FOR
16 17 18 19 20	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. TERRY SANDRES, an individual,	ALIFORNIA, FRES Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE	NO DIVISION v-01609-OWW-DLB
16 17 18 19 20 21	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff,	ALIFORNIA, FRES Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE	NO DIVISION 7-01609-OWW-DLB AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and	Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE SUBMIT TO M District Judge:	NO DIVISION 7-01609-OWW-DLB AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive,	Case No. 1:09-cv) STIPULATION) PLAINTIFF TE) SUBMIT TO M))	AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO ENTAL EXAMINATION Hon. Oliver W. Wanger Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston July 24, 2009
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and	Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE SUBMIT TO M District Judge: Magistrate: Action Filed:	NO DIVISION 7-01609-OWW-DLB AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO ENTAL EXAMINATION Hon. Oliver W. Wanger Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive,	Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE SUBMIT TO M District Judge: Magistrate: Action Filed:	AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO ENTAL EXAMINATION Hon. Oliver W. Wanger Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston July 24, 2009
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE SUBMIT TO M District Judge: Magistrate: Action Filed:	AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO ENTAL EXAMINATION Hon. Oliver W. Wanger Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston July 24, 2009
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE SUBMIT TO M District Judge: Magistrate: Action Filed:	AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO ENTAL EXAMINATION Hon. Oliver W. Wanger Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston July 24, 2009
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	TERRY SANDRES, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, a Maryland corporation; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 1:09-cv STIPULATION PLAINTIFF TE SUBMIT TO M District Judge: Magistrate: Action Filed:	AND ORDER FOR CRRY SANDRES TO ENTAL EXAMINATION Hon. Oliver W. Wanger Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston July 24, 2009

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties hereto, by their respective counsel, that plaintiff Terry Sandres ("Plaintiff") will submit to a mental examination to be conducted by Dr. Francine B. Kulick ("Dr. Kulick") on a date and time mutually agreeable to all parties prior to the expert discovery cut-off date of April 1, 2011, at 1541 Ocean Ave., Suite 200, Santa Monica, California 90401. The purpose of the examination is to determine the existence, extent and nature of any damages to, or abnormality of, Plaintiff's mental and emotional condition and whether such damages and/or abnormality were allegedly caused by defendants Corrections Corporation of America and/or CCA of Tennessee, LLC (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants").

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the examination is authorized by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") Rule 35(b)(6), in that Plaintiff has placed his mental condition in controversy in this action by alleging mental and emotional injury and distress of a lasting nature, and that good cause exists for the examination.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the nature, scope, conditions and manner of the examination are to be as follows:

- 1. No persons other than Plaintiff and Dr. Kulick (or anyone on Dr. Kulick's staff who is necessary for the examination) may be present.
- 2. Plaintiff and Dr. Kulick each have the right to audiotape the examination, but the examination shall not be videotaped. Dr. Kulick's office will not take any photographs, fingerprints, or other identification information from Plaintiff, including but not limited to driver's license, Social Security number, and home address.
- 3. Plaintiff may make an audio recording of the examination. Dr. Kulick and her staff will accommodate all reasonable requests to accomplish this recording including, but not limited to, taking any necessary breaks during the examination, taking a break if technical difficulties arise, making available electrical outlets, and all other reasonable accommodations to allow the effective recording of the mental examination.
- 4. Plaintiff shall not be required to fill out any patient information forms of any type whatsoever, including, but not limited to, (new patient) forms, insurance forms, identification

- The examination may only be conducted by Dr. Kulick. The examination will
 - Said oral examination shall not delve into the following areas, which are protected by the California Constitutional right of privacy:
 - Dr. Kulick is permitted to inquire concerning the general health of family members and the existence of medical and mental conditions and whether treatment was received; however, Plaintiff is not to be questioned regarding the specifics of any treatment, names of treating physicians, and details of the medical or mental conditions as the right to privacy of third parties are an issue.
 - Plaintiff is not to be questioned concerning his conversations with his counsel, or any other person affiliated with his counsel or his counsel's office, including but not limited to Appell Hilaire &
 - Plaintiff is not to be questioned concerning his counsel's evaluation of his claims against Defendants, nor is Plaintiff to be questioned about any discussions Plaintiff has had with his counsel regarding such evaluations, as that is invasive of the attorney-client and
- process, including but not limited to taking a lunch break, rest breaks, and bathroom breaks.
- Defendants are to immediately transmit a copy of this Stipulation to Dr. Kulick and promptly advise her that she must comply with the limitations imposed by the Stipulation. By proceeding with the examination, Dr. Kulick shall be deemed to have consented to the terms of this Stipulation, and she agrees to abide by its terms.
 - 8. Counsel for Plaintiff shall be provided with a report from the examination, as well

27

28

- 9. Defendants and their respective counsel will use and/or disseminate documentation of the examination only for purposes of this litigation. The tests taken by Plaintiff as part of the mental examination, along with any notes and/or written reports and/or records maintained in any format, including electronic data, by Dr. Francine B. Kulick are confidential medical records relating to Plaintiff's mental health. These records are confidential but may be used by the parties as exhibits and in preparation for trial, in trial, and in other proceedings in this matter, but for no other purposes. Defense counsel may not give these records to anyone except members of their respective law firms, to the degree necessary to prepare copies or exhibits. Any information acquired or learned or any evaluation made in violation of this agreement will not be admissible in evidence for any purpose. The parties further agree that the Court may, upon motion at trial, strike, preclude, or limit any testimony of the examiner as appropriate, and Plaintiff is not waiving his right to such relief by agreeing to this examination. The parties reserve the right to seek whatever sanctions they may deem appropriate.
- 10. Disclosure, production and delivery of any reports regarding the examination shall be governed by FRCP Rule 35.
- 11. The examination shall consist of a clinical interview and the administration of the MMPI-2. The examination will be performed by Dr. Kulick, a clinical psychologist. Absent

1 unforeseen circumstances, the examination shall be completed in one day. 2 12. Counsel for Plaintiff shall have the opportunity to depose Dr. Kulick, subject to her 3 standard deposition fee of \$525 per hour, within a reasonable time after being provided with her 4 report and related documents as provided in Paragraph 8 above. 13. This agreement represents the entire and complete scope of the agreement between 5 Plaintiff and Defendants for the mental examination of Plaintiff. All express or implied statements 6 7 or representations are part of this agreement. This agreement shall be modified or changed only upon the express written statement of all parties to the agreement. Nothing herein shall be 8 9 construed as a waiver of Plaintiff's privacy rights, medical privacy rights, doctor-patient privileges, 10 or psychotherapist-patient privileges. Nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of Plaintiff's right to challenge the admissibility or appropriateness of any opinion or testimony. 11 12 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 13 Dated: March 3, 2011 APPELL HILAIRE BERNARDO LLP 14 /s/ Barry M. Appell (As authorized on 3/3/11) 15 By: __ Barry M. Appell 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff Terry Sandres 17 18 Dated: March 3, 2011 GLEASON & FAVOROTE LLP 19 /s/ Kathy H. Gao By: ___ 20 Kathy H. Gao 21 Attorneys for Defendants Corrections Corporation of America and CCA 22 of Tennessee, LLC 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that plaintiff Terry Sandres submit to mental examination pursuant to		
3	the terms and conditions set forth and agreed upon by counsel for the parties to the above-		
4	captioned matter in the Stipulation for Plaintiff Terry Sandres to Submit to Mental Examination.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Date d. March 4 2011 /c/ OLIVED W. WANCED		
8	Dated: March 4, 2011 /s/ OLIVER W. WANGER United States District Judge		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			
28			
20	6.		