(PC) Goolsby v. Carrasco et al		
4		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	THOMAS GOOLSBY,	CASE NO.: 1:09-cv-01650-DLB PC
	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	ORDER FINDING SERVICE OF COMPLAINT APPROPRIATE AND FORWARDING
13		DOCUMENTS TO PLAINTIFF
14	M. CARRASCO, et al.,	(Doc. 1)
15	Defendants.	
16	7	
17	Plaintiff Thomas Goolsby ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18		
19	pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
20	Plaintiff filed his complaint on September 17, 2009. The Court screened Plaintiff's	
21	complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and finds that it states a cognizable claim for relief	
22	under§ 1983 against defendant Gonzales for violation of the Eighth Amendment. <sup>1</sup>	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
24	1. Service is appropriate for defendant	
	Warden Gonzales	
25		
26		
27	<sup>1</sup> By separate order, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Equal Protection claim and Defendants L. L. Schulteis, M. Gifford, K. Holland, R. Mayo, C. Rousta and N. Grannis from the action for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.	
28		
	II	

Doc. 12