

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS GOOLSBY,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01650-DLB PC
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
	COMMUNICATION WITH PRISONERS
v.	(Doc. 27)
CARRASCO, et al.,	
Defendants.	

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff Thomas Goolsby (“Plaintiff”) is a prisoner in the custody of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 27, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting that the Court order California Correctional Institution (“CCI”), where Plaintiff is currently incarcerated, to permit Plaintiff to communicate with other prisoners. (Doc. 27, Mot. 2-3.) Plaintiff contends that he needs declarations from other prisoners to use in his reply to Defendants’ opposition to his motions for preliminary injunction and for summary judgment. (*Id.*)

The Court denies Plaintiff’s requests. Plaintiff is incorrect when he contends that CCI does not permit communication between prisoners. Inmates may correspond with other inmates, pursuant to title 15, section 3139 of the California Code of Regulations, by contacting their correctional counselor. Plaintiff should utilize the prison institution’s procedures prior to seeking a court order.

1 Plaintiff contends that he needs to obtain declarations to reply to Defendants' opposition  
2 to Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction. Defendants contend that Plaintiff's motion is  
3 moot. Plaintiff makes no good argument why other inmate declarations are necessary to reply.  
4 The Court denies any such request. No extension of time will be granted.

5 If Plaintiff requires an extension of time to file a reply to Defendants' opposition to  
6 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, Plaintiff should file a separate motion. Plaintiff must  
7 demonstrate good cause in the motion why an extension of time is necessary to file a reply.  
8 Failure to do so will result in the denial of a reply.

9 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for communication with prisoners is DENIED, without  
10 prejudice.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: June 1, 2010

/s/ Dennis L. Beck  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE