

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HAROLD WALKER,

CASE NO. 1:09-cv-1667-OWW-SKO

Plaintiff,

**ORDER THAT DEFENDANTS  
SUPPLEMENT THE MOTION TO  
COMPEL**

v.

CITY OF FRESNO, et. al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On February 28, 2011, Defendants propounded discovery on Plaintiff requesting responses to Interrogatories, Admissions, and Production of Documents. (Doc. 46-1, 2-3.) Plaintiff provided responses to Defendants' Interrogatories, but not to Defendants' requests for Admissions or the request for Production of Documents. (Doc. 46-1, 3; Doc. 46-2, 2.) Defendants have moved to compel a response to the requests for Admissions and for Production of Documents, but Defendants have failed to attach to their motion the proof of service of the discovery requests or a copy of the discovery requests to which they seek to compel a response.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Defendants shall have two (2) days from the date of this order to supplement their motion by filing a copy of the discovery requests and the applicable proofs of service.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated: June 14, 2011**

**/s/ Sheila K. Oberto**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE