1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARTHUR LOURDES LENIX,) Case No.: 1:09-cv-01683-AWI-JLT
12	Petitioner,) ORDER DISREGARDING PETITIONER'S
13	v.) MOTION FOR COPIES (Doc. 44)
14	DOMINGO URIBE, JR., Warden,)
15	Respondent.)
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding in propria persona with a petition for writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
19	PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
20	The instant petition was filed on September 23, 2009. (Doc. 1). On January 4, 2012,	
21	following an extended stay of proceedings to permit Petitioner to exhaust remedies in the state courts,	
22	the Court ordered Respondent to file as Response. (Doc. 34). On March 5, 2012, Respondent filed an	
23	Answer to the petition. (Doc. 36). On May 29, 2012, Petitioner filed his Traverse. (Doc. 42). At	
24	present, the matter is pending, awaiting a decision on the merits by the Court.	
25	On April 18, 2013, Petitioner filed the instant motion for copies, requesting that the Court send	
26 27	various docket sheets and other documentation to establish that this matter is still pending. (Doc. 44).	
27 28	The Court has no mechanism for providing pro	o se petitioners with documentation other than the
20		1

1	normal orders that decide matters pending before the Court.	
2	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that Petitioner's motion for copies (Doc. 44), is	
3	DISREGARDED. The matter remains pending and will be decided in due course.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: May 30, 2013 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	