1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	GLEN BEATY, CASE NO. CV F 09-1684 LJO GSA
10	Plaintiff, ORDER TAKING MOTIONS UNDER SUBMISSION (Doc. 47, 49)
11	VS.
12	CITY OF FRESNO, et al.
13	Defendants.
14	/
15	Currently pending before this Court are several motions to dismiss the complaint. The parties
16	filed a stipulation on April 2, 2010 indicating that plaintiff anticipates filing an amended complaint.
17	(Doc. 50.) This anticipated amended complaint has not yet been filed. Any opposition to the motions
18	is untimely. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the April 19, 2010 hearing and will decide the motions
19	on the moving papers (Local Rule 230(c) (g)), unless on or before April 14, 2010, plaintiff files an
20	amended complaint.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated: April 8, 2010 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
27	
20	
	1