On July 9, 2014, the Clerk of the Court reported that the June 17, 2014 order (ECF No. 60) granting Plaintiff a thirty day extension of time was inadvertently sent to another person. Plaintiff did not receive the Court's June 17, 2014 order (ECF No. 60)

25

26

27

28

² Plaintiff called this filing his fifth motion for an extension. Court records show that it was his fourth.

¹ Plaintiff called the filing a fourth motion for an extension. Court records show that it was his third.

that set July 21, 2014 as the deadline to object to the findings and recommendations.

The Clerk of the Court remailed that order (ECF No. 60) to Plaintiff on July 9, 2014 and reset his thirty day extension of time. On July 9, 2014, the Court, unaware that Plaintiff had not received its prior order granting an extension of time or that a new deadline had been set, signed an order denying Plaintiff's fourth extension of time request. (ECF No. 62.) This confusion has resulted in conflicting deadlines for Plaintiff to file his objections, August 9, 2014 and July 21, 2014.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

Plaintiff shall file any objections to the Court's March 4, 2014 findings and recommendations no later than August 9, 2014. No further extensions of time will be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 11, 2014 /sl Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE