1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	10		
11	11 LONITA LEDERLE,) Case No.: 1	:09-cv-01736 JLT	
12	12 Appellant, ORDER GH	RANTING EXTENSION OF TIME	
13	13 v. (Doc. 16)		
14	14 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,) Commissioner of Social Security,)		
15			
16	Respondent.) 16)		
17	17		
18	Parties have stipulated by counsel to extend the period of time for the Respondent to file		
19	respond to Appellant's Opening Brief. Notably, this is the second extension sought by the		
20	Respondent.		
21	The first extension was granted by the Court on November 3, 2010 (Doc. 15), setting the due		
22	date as December 2, 2010 per the parties' stipulation and in accord with the Scheduling Order that		
23	allows a single thirty day extension by stipulation (Doc. 4). A request after the single thirty day		
24	extension "will be granted only for good cause." (Doc. 4 at 4). Both requests for extension of time		
25	have been made "due to a continuing heavy workload, to include several briefs with concurrent due		
26	dates." (Doc. 14 at 1, Doc. 16 at 1). Good cause has therefore	dates." (Doc. 14 at 1, Doc. 16 at 1). Good cause has therefore been shown for this extension of	
27	27 time. However, in light of the previous extensions, the Court \underline{w}	time. However, in light of the previous extensions, the Court will not grant another extension	
28	without a showing of exceptional good cause.		
	1		

1	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED: Respondent is granted an extension of time until	
2	January 3, 2011, to file his response to the Appellant's Opening Brief.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated:December 14, 2010/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28		
	2	