LT (PC) Barrett	v. Cate et al	Doc. 50
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT E. BARRETT,	Case No. 1:09-cv-01741 LJO JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY
13	VS.	(Doc. 48)
14	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On October 27, 2011, the Court issued its Findings and Recommendations to grant the motion	
18	to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. (Doc. 47) As a result, Defendants seek an	
19	extension of time to conduct discovery until after the Court issues its order adopting or rejecting the	
20	recommendation. (Doc. 48) Defendants contend that to require them to continue discovery	
21	efforts—including Plaintiff's deposition that is currently set on November 4, 2011—at this time may waste	
22	limited state resources. <u>Id.</u> at 1.	
23	Good cause appearing, the scheduling order is amended to extend the discovery deadline to	
24	December 12, 2011.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: October 28, 2011	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
		1