ple of The State of California I	
UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
ANTHONY MARCEL BEARD,	1:09-cv-01750-YNP [DLB] (HC)
Petitioner,	ODDED DENIMIC MOTION FOR
VS.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	A, (DOCUMENT #7)
Respondent.	(DOCUMENT #7)
	/
Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d	
479, 481 (9th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> , 358 U.S. 889 (1958); <u>Mitchell v. Wyrick</u> , 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.),	
8 cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment	
of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Rules	
Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of	
justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS	
HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: <u>March 12, 2010</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	UNITED STA EASTERN DIS ANTHONY MARCEL BEARD, Petitioner, vs. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Respondent. Petitioner has requested the appoint right to appointment of counsel in habeas pro 479, 481 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 889 cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, 7 of counsel at any stage of the case "if the int Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present justice would be served by the appointment of HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request

Doc. 8