(HC) Fleming v. Cate			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JAMES FLEMING,	1:09-cv-01765-SMS (HC)	
11	Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY STAY	
12		SHOULD NOT BE LIFTED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER	
13	V.	[Doc. 7]	
14	MATTHEW CATE,	[Doc. /]	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant		
18	to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner is represented by Allen R. Bloom, Esq.		
19	On October 19, 2009, the Court granted Petitioner's motion to stay and hold the petition		
20	in abeyance pending exhaustion in the state courts. (Court Doc. 7.) The Court directed		
21	Petitioner to file a status report every ninety days. (Id.) Petitioner timely filed a status report on		
22	January 25, 2010; however, Petitioner has failed to comply with the Court's order by filing a		
23	subsequent status report within ninety days thereafter. Accordingly, Petitioner must show cause		
24	why the stay should not be lifted for failure to comply with the Court's October 19, 2009.		
25	Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
26	1. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order Petitioner shall show		
27	cause why the stay should not be lifted; and		
28	2. Failure to comply with this order	er may result in sanctions pursuant to Local Rule	
		1	

Doc. 9

1	110.	
2		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: <u>May 5, 2010</u>	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2