

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

7
8
9 BILAL AHDOM,) 1:09-cv-01874-AWI-BAM (PC)
10 Plaintiff,)
11 v.) ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO FILE
12 S. LOPEZ, et al.,) STATUS REPORTS
13 Defendants.) 21-DAY DEADLINE
14)

15 Plaintiff Bilal Ahdom (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
16 pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 18, 2013,
17 Plaintiff filed his third amended complaint against Defendants M. Araich, C. Chen, Shittu,
18 Ashby, S. Lopez, M. Spaeth, and S. Schaefer for deliberate indifference to his medical needs in
19 violation of the Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 90.) Subsequently, the Defendants filed motions
20 to dismiss. (ECF Nos. 94, 95, 96.)

21 On October 9, 2015, the District Judge ruled on the motions to dismiss, dismissing
22 Defendants Lopez and Spaeth from this action, and dismissing various claims against Defendants
23 Schaefer, Araich, and Ashby. (ECF No. 152.) This action now proceeds on Plaintiff’s claims
24 against Defendants Schaefer, Araich, Chen, Shittu, and Ashby for Eighth Amendment deliberate
25 indifference. (*Id.*) On October 21, 2015, Defendants Shittu, Chen, and Araich filed an answer to
26 Plaintiff’s third amended complaint. (ECF No. 154.) The next day, October 22, 2015, Defendant
27 Schaefer filed her answer to Plaintiff’s third amended complaint. (ECF No. 155.) Finally, on
28

1 October 23, 2015, Defendant Ashby filed his answer to Plaintiff's third amended complaint.
2 (ECF No. 156.)

3 While the motions to dismiss were pending, the Court vacated the deadlines in its earlier
4 discovery and scheduling order. (ECF No. 70.) To move this matter forward, the Court shall
5 issue an amended discovery and scheduling order for the completion of discovery and the filing
6 of dispositive motions. In order to set appropriate dates, the Court needs information from the
7 parties concerning how much discovery is left to complete, if any, and how much time the parties
8 anticipate that they need to complete discovery and prepare pre-trial dispositive motions, if any.

9 As a result, the Court orders the parties to file status reports. Defendants shall file a joint
10 status report, and Plaintiff may file his own report. The parties shall inform the court of the
11 following: (a) whether they believe a settlement conference is likely to be helpful at this time;
12 (b) how much time they anticipate they need to complete discovery, and the reason(s) why; (c) a
13 proposed deadline for the completion of all discovery, including filing motions to compel; (d) a
14 proposed deadline for filing pre-trial dispositive motions; and (e) any other scheduling issue the
15 parties believe the Court should be advised about.

16 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

17 1. Plaintiff may file a status report, and Defendants shall file a joint status report,
18 informing the Court of the following: (a) whether they believe a settlement conference is likely
19 to be helpful at this time; (b) how much time they anticipate they need to complete discovery,
20 and the reason(s) why; (c) a proposed deadline for the completion of all discovery, including
21 filing motions to compel; (d) a proposed deadline for filing pre-trial dispositive motions; and (e)
22 any other scheduling issue the parties believe the Court should be advised about; and

23 2. The parties' reports shall be filed and served within twenty-one (21) days of the
24 date of service of this order.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: October 26, 2015

27 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE