(PC) Ahdom	v. Lopez et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	BILAL AHDOM,) 1:09-cv-01874-AWI-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TORESPOND TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL,
13	V.) MOTION TO DETERMINE SUFFICIENCY) OF ANSWERS OR OBJECTIONS
14	S. LOPEZ, et al.,) (ECF Nos. 170, 171, 172, 173)
15	Defendants.) THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE
16)
17		ý
18		
19	Plaintiff Bilal Ahdom ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
20	pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on	
21	Plaintiff's claims against Defendants Schaefer, Araich, Chen, Shittu, and Ashby for Eighth	
22	Amendment deliberate indifference.	
23	On February 18, 2016, Defendant Asbhy filed a motion to compel certain discovery	
24	responses. (ECF No. 170.) On February 29, 2016, Defendants Araich, Chen, Shittu filed two	
25	motions to compel certain discovery responses, (ECF Nos. 171, 173), and a motion to determine	
26	the sufficiency of answers or objections, (ECF No. 172). The time for Plaintiff to file any	
27	opposition to these motions has expired, and Plaintiff has not responded. Local Rule 230(1).	
28	///	

Doc. 175

Accordingly, Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motions, listed above, (ECF No. 170, 171, 172, and 173), within thirty (30) days. Any request for an extension of time to comply with this order will require a showing of good cause. Plaintiff is warned that the failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions, up to and including the dismissal of this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **April 20, 2016**