I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	LAWTIS DONALD RHODEN,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01890-DLB PC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER VACATING DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER
10	v.	(Doc. 33)
11	STEPHEN W. MAYBERG, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	/	
14		
15	On May 7, 2010, the Court issued a discovery and scheduling order in this action as	
16	Defendants presumably filed an answer to Plaintiff's amended complaint. However, the Court	
17	has recently discovered that Defendants mislabeled their filing as an answer. Defendants had	
18	filed a motion to dismiss instead. Thus, Defendants have yet to file an answer and opening	
19	discovery is premature at this time.	
20	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's discovery and scheduling order,	
21	filed May 7, 2010, is VACATED.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: <u>May 13, 2010</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JODGE
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1