

1 Compl., ECF No. 19) asserting Eighth Amendment claims of excessive force against
2 Defendant Hansen, failure to protect against Defendant Hartley and failure to intervene
3 against Defendant Lewis. (Order Finding Cognizable Claims, ECF No. 20.) Defendants
4 Hansen, Hartley, and Lewis have answered. (Answer, ECF No. 25.)
5

6 The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
7 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United States District Court for the Eastern District
8 of California.

9 On September 13, 2012, Findings and Recommendation Denying Plaintiff's Motions
10 for Protective Order and Hearing Thereon (Findings and Recommendation, ECF No. 53)
11 were filed in which the Magistrate Judge recommended that Plaintiff's motions for temporary
12 protective order and hearing thereon (Motions, ECF Nos. 35-36) be DENIED without
13 prejudice by the District Judge. The parties were notified that objection, if any, was due
14 within fourteen days. The fourteen day deadline has passed without any party having filed
15 objections or seeking an extension of time to do so.
16

17 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has
18 conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
19 Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by
20 proper analysis.
21

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 2 1. The Court adopts the Findings and Recommendation filed September 13,
- 3 2012, in full, and
- 4 2. Plaintiff's Motions for temporary protective order and hearing thereon (ECF
- 5 Nos. 35-36) are DENIED without prejudice.
- 6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 **Dated: October 2, 2012**

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27