1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FRESNO DIVISION		
11	RACHEL C. PACRAY, an individual,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01942-OWW-GSA	
12	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
13	v.	IRESUDICE	
14	SHEA MORTGAGE INC., a Business Entity, form unknown; AURORA LOAN SERVICES,		
15	LLC, a Business Entity, form unknown; CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, a Business		
16 17	Entity, form unknown; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, a Business Entity, and DOES 1 through 50,		
18	inclusive,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	On April 23, 2010, the Court granted the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended		
22	Complaint filed by defendants Aurora Loan Services, LLC, and Mortgage Electronic Registration		
23	Systems. In footnote 2 of the Memroandum Decision and Order granting the Motion to Dismiss, the		
24	Court held that its ruling applies to the claims asserted against defendant Shea Mortgage, Inc., as well.		
25	The Court gave Plaintiff fifteen (15) days to file a second amended complaint to cure the defects in the		
26	prior pleadings. Plaintiff has failed to do so. In ruling on the Motion to Dismiss, the Court		
27			
28			
	Judgment of Dismissal With Prejudice		

1	specifically instructed Plaintiff that if she failed to file a timely amended complaint the case would be		
2	dismissed.		
3	As Plaintiff has failed to amend the complaint within the time allowed by the Court, and for the		
4	reasons explained in the Court's Memorandum Decision and Order [document no. 31], the Court		
5	hereby DISMISSES all claims against Shea Mortgage Inc. WITH PREJUDICE.		
6			
7			
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
9	Dated: May 26, 2010 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-2-		
	Judgment of Dismissal With Prejudice		