

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 RAYLENA ROGERS, ) CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01972-JLT  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 v. ) ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING  
14 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner ) IN PART EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
of Social Security ) OPENING BRIEF  
15 ) (Doc. 15)  
16 Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
)

18 On June 2, 2010, the Court granted the parties' stipulation to extend time for Plaintiff to file her  
19 opening brief. (Doc. 13) The request was based upon Plaintiff's counsel's having been out of town for  
20 two weeks and needing time to review and to draft the brief. (*Id.* at 1.) The Court granted the request  
21 and extended the filing date to July 1, 2010. (*Id.*) However, the Court warned, "Counsel are reminded  
22 that requests for modification of the briefing schedule will not be granted routinely. According to the  
23 Scheduling Order, with the exception of a single, 30-day extension related to any portion of the Order,  
24 requests to modify must be made by written motion and will be granted only upon a showing of good  
25 cause shown." (Doc. 14 at 1)

26 Currently before the Court is a new request to extend time by 45 days for Plaintiff to file her  
27 opening brief. (Doc. 15) Plaintiff's counsel makes the request "due to workload demands and Plaintiff's  
28 attorney's scheduling conflict." (Id.) Counsel fails to outline any of the work currently being done that

1 makes it necessary to receive an extension, what counsel’s “scheduling conflict” is or how these were  
2 circumstances that were unanticipated when the last request for modification of the Scheduling Order  
3 was made. Moreover, counsel fails to offer any authority or analysis why these circumstances constitute  
4 good cause to modify the Scheduling Order again. Therefore, the Court finds that good cause has not  
5 been shown.

6 However, to avoid significant prejudice to Plaintiff caused by her counsel, the Court will grant  
7 an extension of time to file the opening brief to July 26, 2010. Defendant's responsive brief will be filed  
8 and served within 30 days thereafter.

## 10 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: July 2, 2010

**/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE