(PC) Rotroff v. Ahlin et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DENIS K. ROTROFF,	1:09-cv-02021-AWI-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE
13	v.	OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON- OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION
14	PAM AHLIN, et al.,	TO DISMISS WITHIN THIRTY DAYS
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 24.)
16		
17	On April 16, 2010, defendants Pam Ahlin and Stephen W. Mayberg ("Defendants") filed a	
18	motion to dismiss. (Doc. 24.) Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or a statement of non-	
19	opposition to the motion within twenty-one days, but has not done so. Local Rule 230(1).	
20	Accordingly, within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff must file	
21	an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss. If Plaintiff fails	
22	to comply with this order, this action will be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to obey the	
23	Court's order and failure to prosecute.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>May 20, 2010</u>	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		CIVILD STATES MASSISTATE TODGE
28		

Doc. 31