1	NOSSAMAN LLP		
2	ROBERT D. THORNTON (SBN 72934) rthornton@nossaman.com PAUL S. WEILAND (SBN 237058)		
3	pweiland@nossaman.com AUDREY M. HUANG (SBN 217622)		
4	ahuang@nossaman.com 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800		
5	Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 833-7800		
6	Facsimile: (949) 833-7878		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and Kern County		
8	Water Agency		
9	KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY AMELIA T. MINABERRIGARAI (SBN 192359) P.O. Box 58		
10	Bakersfield, CA 93302-0058 Telephone: (661) 634-1400		
11	Facsimile: (661) 634-1428		
12	Attorney for Plaintiff Kern County Water Agency		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14			
15	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA and KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY	Case No: 1:09-CV-02023-LJO-BAM	
17	Plaintiffs,		
18	·	STIPULATION OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL	
19	VS.		
20	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, RAY LaHOOD, in his official capacity as Secretary of Transportation,		
21	MARITIME ADMINISTRATION, and JAMES E. CAPONITI, in his official capacity as Acting		
22	Deputy Maritime Administrator,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25	WHEREAS the Coalition For A Sustainah	le Delta and the Kern County Water Agency	
26	WHEREAS, the Coalition For A Sustainable Delta and the Kern County Water Agency  ("Plaintiffer") filed a Complaint for Dealerstery and Injunctive Police in Case No. 1:00 CV 00480 on		
27	("Plaintiffs") filed a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief in Case No. 1:09-CV-00480 on		
28	March 12, 2009;		
	STIPULATION OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL	1	
1	09cr2023.Stipulation.docx		

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (Dkt. #23) as a matter of right pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on May 28, 2009;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (Second Amended Complaint) (Dkt. #75) on July 23, 2009;

WHEREAS, the Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Claims for Relief contained in Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint allege that the Department of Transportation Maritime Administration (MARAD) violated section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq., by, among other things, failing to consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) regarding the effects of the Removal of Non-Retention Vessels from National Defense Reserve Fleet Sites for Disposal Project located at the Suisun Bay Reserve Fleet Facility on the threatened delta smelt and its designated critical habitat;

WHEREAS, MARAD entered into consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) in August of 2007;

WHEREAS, it was agreed between the NMFS and MARAD that the consultation would be stayed and continued following MARAD's completion of its environmental analysis of fleet operations and vessel disposal activities under the National Environmental Policy Act;

WHEREAS, MARAD issued the Final Programmatic Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Removal and Disposal of Non-Retention Vessels from the National Defense Reserve Fleet on August 31, 2009;

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2010 and May 13, 2010, MARAD submitted a request to NMFS to concur with MARAD's determination that the Removal of Non-Retention Vessels from National Defense Reserve Fleet Sites for Disposal Project located at the Suisun Bay Reserve Fleet Facility may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the threatened delta smelt and its critical habitat; pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA;

WHEREAS, on June 1, 2011, NMFS concurred with MARAD's determination that listed species and designated critical habitat are not likely to be adversely affected by MARAD's proposed removal of non-retention vessels from the Suisun Bay Reserve Fleet Facility;

1	WHEREAS, on January 19, 2010, and June 2010, MARAD submitted a request to the Service to		
2	concur with MARAD's determination that the Removal of Non-Retention Vessels from National		
3	Defense Reserve Fleet Sites for Disposal Project located at the Suisun Bay Reserve Fleet Facility may		
4	affect but is not likely to adversely affect the threatened delta smelt and its critical habitat;		
5	WHEREAS, on June 20, 2011, pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, the Service concurred that		
6	the proposed action is not likely to adversely affect the delta smelt or adversely modify its critical		
7	habitat;		
8	NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Fed. R. C	Eiv. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the parties hereby stipulate to	
9	the dismissal of the Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Claims for Relief contained in Plaintiffs' Second Amended		
10	Complaint (Dkt. #75). Dismissal is without prejudice, with each party to bear its own costs, expenses,		
11	and attorneys' fees as to the dismissed claims. All other claims in the Second Amended Complaint are		
12	unaffected by this stipulation.		
13	Respectfully submitted this 25th day of Octo NOSSAMAN LLP	ober, 2011 KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY AMELIA T. MINABERRIGARAI	
15   16   17   18   19   19   19   19   19   19   19	/S/ Paul S. Weiland (with permission by By: _Kevin W. McArdle) Robert D. Thornton Paul S. Weiland Audrey M. Huang Attorneys for Plaintiffs Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and Kern County Water Agency IGNACIA S. MORENO, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL  By: _/S/ Kevin W. McArdle_ KEVIN W. MCARDLE, Trial Attorney United State Department of Justice, Environmental & Natural Resources Division Attorneys for FEDERAL DEFENDANTS  IT IS SO ORDERED.	/S/ Amelia T. Minaberrigarai (with By:permission by Kevin W. McArdle)_Attorney for Plaintiff Kern County Water Agency	
26 27 28	Dated: October 27, 2011	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	

STIPULATION OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL
09cr2023.Stipulation.docx