1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		1:09-cv-407 OWW GSA	
11	THE DELTA SMELT CASES,	1:09-cv-422 OWW GSA 1:09-cv-631 OWW GSA	
12	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, <i>et al.</i> v. SALAZAR, <i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-407)	1:09-cv-892 OWW GSA PARTIALLY CONSOLIDATED WITH: 1:09-cv-480 OWW GSA	
13	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. SALAZAR,	WIIII. 1.07-07-400 OW W USA	
14	<i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-422)	<b>ORDER RE 706 EXPERT(S)</b>	
15 16	COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA, et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-480)	Date: October 2, 2009	
17 18	METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, <i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-631)	Time: 1:30 p.m. Ctrm: 3 Judge: Hon. Oliver W. Wanger	
19	STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS, et al. v.		
20	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, <i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-892)		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER RE 706 EXPERT(S) CASE NO. 1:09-CV-00407 OWW GSA sf-2748739		

1	On October 2, 2009, the Court heard the parties' submissions, as ordered by the Court,		
2	concerning the appointment of a Federal Rule of Evidence 706 expert(s) by the Court. Plaintiffs,		
3	along with California Department of Water Resources and Lester Snow, Director, California		
4	Department of Water Resources ("DWR"), supported the appointment of a 706 expert and jointly		
5	nominated three individuals for the Court's consideration. Doc. # 267, 268. Federal Defendants		
6	and Defendant-Intervenors opposed the appointment of a 706 expert, but Federal Defendants		
7	nominated one individual, and Defendant-Intervenors nominated two individuals, for the Court's		
8	consideration if the Court elected to appoint a 706 expert. Doc. # 266, 269. Plaintiffs and DWR		
9	raised specific objections to the nominees of the Federal Defendants and Defendant-Intervenors		
10	on the ground that, inter alia, each of those individuals was disqualified for bias and conflict of		
11	interest by virtue of their extensive prior involvement in the academic or agency Bay-Delta		
12	research community. Doc. # 310, 311, 312. Federal Defendants and Defendant-Intervenors did		
13	not raise specific objections to the individuals nominated by Plaintiffs and DWR, but continued to		
14	oppose the appointment of any 706 expert. Doc. # 307, 308.		
15	The Court has fully considered the briefs, evidence, and oral arguments submitted by the		
16	parties, and good cause appearing therefore, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS:		
17	1. The Court conditionally finds that appointment of a 706 expert(s) would be helpful		
18	to the Court and is appropriate in light of the complex technical and scientific matters that are		
19	central to this case. The Court will make a final determination on the appointment of a 706		
20	expert(s) after it has reviewed declarations submitted by the parties' experts, as authorized by the		
21	Court.		
22	2. By October 14, 2009, the parties shall propose a 706 expert(s), or inform the Court		
23	that they cannot reach agreement. If the parties cannot reach agreement, Plaintiffs and DWR, as		
24	one "side," and Federal Defendants and Defendant-Intervenors, as the other "side," shall each		
25	present three nominations to the Court, also by October 14, 2009.		
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER RE 706 EXPERT(S) CASE NO. 1:09-CV-004070 OWW GSA sf-2748739		

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version <u>www.pdffactory.com</u>

1		
2		
3	Dated: October 8, 2009	/s/ OLIVER W. WANGER OLIVER W. WANGER
4		U.S. District Court Judge
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ORDER RE 706 EXPERT(S) CASE NO. 1:09-CV-004070 OWW GSA sf-2748739	