1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DURRELL ANTHONY PUCKETT,	1:09-cv-02147-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO RULE 41
13	v.	(Doc. 12.)
14	T. W. STEADMAN, et al.,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY WITHOUT PREJUDICE
15		ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO CLOSE FILE
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff Durrell Anthony Puckett ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
19	forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the	
20	complaint on December 10, 2009. (Doc. 1.) On December 23, 2009, plaintiff consented to the	
21	jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), and no other parties have made	
22	an appearance. (Doc. 8.) Therefore, pursuant to Appendix $A(k)(4)$ of the Local Rules of the	
23	Eastern District of California, the undersigned shall conduct any and all proceedings in the case	
24	until such time as reassignment to a District Judge is required. Local Rule Appendix $A(k)(3)$.	
25	On May 11, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to voluntarily dismiss this lawsuit so that he can	
26	further exhaust state remedies. (Doc. 12.)	

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

1	In Wilson v. City of San Jose, the Ninth Circuit explained:		
2			
3	Under Rule 41(a)(1), a plaintiff has an absolute right to voluntarily dismiss his action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary indement. Canche y, London, $62 = 2d + 1402$, 1506 (0th Cin 1005) (aiting		
4	judgment. <u>Concha v. London</u> , 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing <u>Hamilton v. Shearson-Lehman American Express</u> , 813 F.2d 1532, 1534 (9th Cir. 1987). A plaintiff may diamisa his action as long as the plaintiff files a paties of		
5	1987)). A plaintiff may dismiss his action so long as the plaintiff files a notice of dismissal prior to the defendant's service of an answer or motion for summary indement. The dismissal is affective on filing and no court order is required. Id		
6	judgment. The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required. <u>Id.</u> The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his		
7	claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice. <u>Id.</u> ; <u>Pedrina v. Chun</u> , 987 F.2d 608, 609-10 (9th Cir. 1993). The filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court		
8			
9			
10	Harris Funeral Home, 834 F.2d 930, 934-35 (9th Cir. 1987)). Such a dismissal leaves the parties as though no action had been brought. Id.		
11	Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). No defendant has filed an answer		
12	or motion for summary judgment in this action. Therefore, plaintiff's motion shall be granted.		
13	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
14	1. Plaintiff's motion to dismiss the complaint is GRANTED;		
15	2. This action is DISMISSED in its entirety without prejudice; and		
16	3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close the file in this case and adjust the		
17	docket to reflect voluntary dismissal of this action pursuant to Rule 41(a).		
18			
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: <u>May 13, 2010</u> /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			