Sophia Lopez v. Jo	ohnson et al	Doc. 7
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SOHIA LOPEZ,	1:09-cv-02174 LJO JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AMENDED AFFIDAVIT SUPPORTING HER
13	)	REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA
14	v. )	PAUPERIS
15	MARTHA JOHNSON, and ) RENEE RICHARDSON, )	
16	) Defendants. )	
17	)	
18		
19	Plaintiff has requested authority under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis, and has	
20	submitted an affidavit purporting to demonstrate that she is unable to prepay fees and costs or give	
21	security for them. [Docket #3] However, the affidavit is incomplete and insufficient for several reasons	
22	First, plaintiff failed to sign the affidavit under penalty of perjury. Second, although plaintiff	
23	indicates that she has no income of her own, she asserts that her spouse has "SSIS disability income"	
24	that supports her and the couple's four adult children. Notably, the children range in ages from 19 to	
25	39. Plaintiff fails to outline the reasons for the children's reliance upon her spouse's income, whether	
26		
27		
28		
	1	

1 2

they live in her home or whether they contribute to the household expenses.<sup>1</sup>

Because the unsigned affidavit does not provide any information about plaintiff's spouse's income or her own monthly expenses, the affidavit is insufficient to evaluate whether plaintiff can pay or give security for court costs and still be able to provide herself with the necessities of life. *See Adkins v. E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948); *see also Monti v. McKeon*, 600F.Supp. 112, 114 (D. Conn. 1984) ("in ruling on motions to proceed *in forma pauperis*, . . . courts have considered the income of interested persons, such as spouses and parents, in evaluating the funds available to the movant . . . If plaintiff is supported by her spouse, and her spouse is financially able to pay the costs of this appeal, it follows that the plaintiff's own lack of funds will not prevent her from gaining access to the courts.").

Accordingly, plaintiff is directed to file, within ten days of this order, a further affidavit, signed under penalty or perjury, containing information regarding her spouse's income, whether she is supported by that income, which of her children, if any, is supported by that income and why they require that support and an accounting of her monthly expenses. The court will then resume consideration of plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Plaintiff is admonished that failure to comply with this order may result in denial of her motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 11, 2010** 

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Plaintiff's complaint alleges that one child is in the military and living at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. It is unclear whether plaintiff is contending that this child is one of the four children, described in the affidavit, who relies upon her spouse's income.