(HC) Stanfield v. United	States District Court	, Eastern District of	California et al

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JEFFREY DAVID STANFIELD, 1:09-cv-2180-BAK-SMS (HC)
12	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
13	Petitioner, Petitioner, EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	VS.
15 16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, et al.
17	Respondent.
18	/
19	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to
20	28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
21	§ 1915.
22	The petitioner is challenging a conviction from Butte County, which is part of the
23	Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.
24	Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.
25	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the
26	proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this
	-1-

1	action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on petitioner's		
2	request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
3	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
4	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
5	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of		
6	California sitting in Sacramento; and		
7	3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall		
8	be filed at:		
9	United States District Court Eastern District of California		
10	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814		
11	Sacramento, CA 95814		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: December 22, 2009 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	-2-		

I