Pomeroy v. Wal-M	lart Stores, Inc.	Doc. 33
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BETTY J. POMEROY,	CASE NO. CV F 09-2209 LJO SKO
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO TAKE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION UNDER SUBMISSION (Doc. 27.)
13	VS.	
14	WAL-MART STORES, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Pursuant to its practice, this Court will consider defendant's summary judgment motion on the	
18	record and VACATES the June 29, 2011 hearing. See Local Rule 230(c). Due to having the heaviest	
19	district court caseload in the nation and inability to hold to scheduled dates, this Court encourages the	
20	parties to consider consent to the conduct of further proceedings by a United States Magistrate Judge.	
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated:	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24		THE STATES DISTRICT VODGE
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1