Rocky Mountain Farmers Union et al v. Goldstene

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	ROCKY MOUNTAIN FARMERS UNION,CASE NO. CV-F-09-2234 LJO DLBREDWOOD COUNTY MINNESOTA CORNCASE NO. CV-F-09-2234 LJO DLB			
12				
13	RENEWABLE FUELS ASSOCIATION, REX NEDEREND, FRESNO COUNTY FARMFEBRUARY 8, 2011 STATUS REPORT			
14				
15				
16	VS.			
17	JAMES N. GOLDSTENE, Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board, Defendants. and related intervenor and consolidated			
18				
19 20				
20 21	actions and amici curiae.			
21	The Court has read and reviewed the parties joint status report, and its attached exhibits, filed			
22	The Court has read and reviewed the parties joint status report, and its attached exhibits, filed			
	on February 8, 2011. The Court notes that the status report acknowledges discovery disputes between			
24 25	certain parties, and contains certain requests. No motions or stipulations, however, are presented to this			
25 26	Court. To the extent the parties have a discovery dispute that must be resolved by Court action, the			
26 27	parties must make the appropriate motion and present that motion to the appropriate judge. To the			
27	extent a party desires to make a request that affects a Court order currently in effect, that party must			
28	make that request through an appropriate motion or stipulation. Because no motions or			

stipulations/proposed orders have been presented to this Court, this Court takes no action. Accordingly,
 the briefing schedule contained in this Court's January 14, 2011 Order on Defendants' and Intervenors
 Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d) Motion remains in effect.

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

This Court has noticed the propensity of counsel to file volumes of documents with each motion. Despite the volume of paperwork presented, the parties either ignore or give short shrift to the relevant grounds for each request or the relief requested. This Court requires succinct brevity. The Court has no option but to enforce that requirement based on its caseload. Accordingly, should the parties file further motions, those motions shall be clear and succinct as to the grounds for the motion and the relief requested.

In addition, this Court grants continuances only upon a demonstration of good cause. This Court
has granted one continuance, and continued the briefing schedule for the five pending motions for
summary judgment and preliminary injunction, based on the parties' request and proposal. Further
requests to continue must be supported by good cause, shall be specific to a particular motion, and
should propose a course of action that contemplates that future continuances shall be looked upon with
disfavor.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED

1/	II IS SO ORDERED.			
18	Dated:	February 10, 2011	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			2	