1 2	WANGER JONES HELSLEY PC 265 E. River Park Circle, Suite 310 Fresno, California 93720 Telephone (550) 232, 4800		
3	Telephone: (559) 233-4800 Facsimile: (559) 233-9330		
4	Timothy Jones #119841 John P. Kinsey #215916		
5	Attorneys for: Rocky Mountain Farmers Union, et al.		
6 7	655 Fifteenth Street, NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 879-5000 Facsimile: (202) 879-5200		
8			
9			
10	Attorneys for: Growth Energy		
11	KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 2900 K Street, NW		
12	North Tower, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20007-5118		
13	Facsimile: (202) 295-1111		
1415	Charles H. Knauss, PHV Attorney for: Renewable Fuels Association		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17			
18 19	ROCKY MOUNTAIN FARMERS UNION, et al.,	Case Nos. 1:09-cv-02234-LJO-GSA & 1:10-cv-00163-LJO-GSA	
20	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER	
21	v.	TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE	
22	RICHARD W. COREY, in his official capacity as Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board, <i>et al.</i> ,	SCHEDCENIO CONTENENCE	
23			
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	{7011/005/00468752.DOCX}	1	

JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

1	AMERICAN FUELS & PETROCHEMICAL		
2	MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION, et al.,		
3	Plaintiffs,		
4	v.		
5	RICHARD W. COREY, in his official		
6	capacity as Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board, et al.,		
7	Defendants.		
8	And related intervenor actions.		
9			
10	WHEREAS the mandate of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth		
11	Circuit remanding this matter (9th Cir. Nos. 12-15131 & 12-15135) to this Court issued on		
12	January 31, 2014.		
13	WHEREAS on February 5, 2014, this Court set this matter for a Mandatory		
14	Scheduling Conference to be held on May 14, 2014 and ordered the parties to file a joint		
15	scheduling report one week prior to the hearing.		
16	WHEREAS on March 20, 2014, Plaintiffs Rocky Mountain Farmers Union,		
17	Redwood County Minnesota Corn and Soybean Growers, Penny Newman Grain, Inc., Growth		
18	Energy, Renewable Fuels Association, Rex Nederend, Nisei Farmers League, the Fresno		
19	County Farm Bureau, and the California Dairy Campaign (collectively "RMFU Plaintiffs") and		
20	Plaintiffs American Fuels & Petrochemical Manufacturers Association, American Trucking		
21	Associations, and Consumer Energy Alliance (collectively "AFPM Plaintiffs") filed petitions		
22	for a writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court (S. Ct. Nos. 13-1148 & 13-1149) to		
23	review the Ninth Circuit's decision.		
24	WHEREAS on April 21, 2014, Defendants filed a Conditional Cross-Petition		
25	for a Writ of Certiorari in the United States Supreme Court.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	{7011/005/00468752.DOCX} 2		

1	4. If the Supreme Court does not a	4. If the Supreme Court does not act on the pending certiorari petitions by the end		
2	of June 2014, the parties will jointly inform the Court by July 28, 2014 and the scheduling			
3	3 conference should be continued to a date to be	conference should be continued to a date to be set after the Supreme Court acts on the pending		
4	4 certiorari petitions following the Supreme Cour	certiorari petitions following the Supreme Court's summer recess.		
5	5			
6		OF CALIFORNIA, EPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		
7	7			
8 9		y: /s/ M. Elaine Meckenstock M. Elaine Meckenstock, Attorneys for Defendants		
10	D I M C 2014	R JONES HELSLEY PC		
11	11 By	y: /s/ Timothy Jones		
12	12	Timothy Jones, Attorneys for RMFU Plaintiffs		
13	13 Dated: May 6, 2014 SIDLEY	AUSTIN LLP		
14		y: /s/ Roger R. Martella, Jr.		
15 16	15	Roger R. Martella, Jr., Attorneys for AFPM Plaintiffs		
17	Dated: May 6, 2014 DONAHI	JE & GOLDBERG, LLP		
18	B,	y: /s/ Sean Donahue Sean Donahue,		
19	19	Attorneys for Environmental Defense Fund;		
20	20	Natural Resources Defense Council; Sierra Club; Conservation Law Foundation		
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26				
27				
28	28			
	{7011/005/00468752.DOCX}			

ORDER 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: May 7, 2014 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

The Court having reviewed the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Mandatory Scheduling Conference in this action, scheduled for May 14, 2014, shall be continued to a future date depending on how and when the Supreme Court acts on the pending petitions for certiorari in this matter.

If the Supreme Court denies the pending certiorari petitions at the end of June 2014, the scheduling conference will be held on August 28, 2014, at 10:30 am. in Courtroom 10. The parties will file a joint scheduling report one week prior to the scheduling conference.

If the Supreme Court grants certiorari at the end of June 2014, the parties will jointly inform the Court within ten days of such action and the scheduling conference will be stayed pending resolution of the Supreme Court proceedings.

If the Supreme Court does not act on the pending certiorari petitions at or near the end of June 2014, the parties will jointly inform the Court by July 28, 2014 and the scheduling conference will be continued to a date to be set after the Supreme Court acts on the pending certiorari petitions following the Supreme Court's summer recess.

> /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

{7011/005/00468752.DOCX}