

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ROCKY MOUNTAIN FARMERS UNION,
REDWOOD COUNTY MINNESOTA CORN
AND SOYBEAN GROWERS, PENNY
NEWMAN GRAIN, INC., GROWTH ENERGY,
RENEWABLE FUELS ASSOCIATION, REX
NEDEREND, FRESNO COUNTY FARM
BUREAU, NISEI FARMERS LEAGUE, and
CALIFORNIA DAIRY CAMPAIGN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JAMES N. GOLDSTENE, Executive Officer
of the California Air Resources Board,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV-F-09-2234 LJO DLB

ORDER TO ENLARGE PAGE LIMITS

NATIONAL PETROCHEMICAL &
REFINERS ASSOCIATION, AMERICAN
TRUCKING ASSOCIATIONS, CENTER
FOR NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY
SECURITY, and THE CONSUMER
ENERGY ALLIANCE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JAMES GOLDSTENE, Executive Officer
of the California Air Resources Board,
MARY D. NICHOLS, DANIEL SPERLING,
KEN YEAGER, DORENE D'ADAMO,
BARBARA RIORDAN, JOHN R. BALMES,
LYDIA H. KENNARD, SANDRA BERG,
RON ROBERTS, RONALD O.
LOVERIDGE, member of the California
Air Resources Board; ARNOLD
SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor of the
state of Caliofrnia, and EDMUND BROWN,
Attorney General of the state of California,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV-F-10-163 LJO DLB

ORDER TO ENLARGE PAGE LIMITS

and related intervenor actions and amici.

1 On May 7, 2010, plaintiffs Rocky Mountain Farmers Union, Redwood County Minnesota Corn
2 and Soybean Growers, Penny Newman Grain, Inc., Growth Energy, Renewable Fuels Association, Rex
3 Nederend, Nisei Farmers League, Fresno County Farm Bureau, and the California Dairy Campaign
4 (“plaintiffs”) requested to file an enlarged brief in opposition to the pending motion to dismiss.
5 Plaintiffs contend that an enlargement is necessary because they will be required to discuss the extensive
6 legislative history underlying the low carbon fuel standard at issue in this case, the lengthy regulatory
7 proceedings before the California Air Resources Board, and the applicable case law. For good cause
8 appearing, this Court GRANTS in part plaintiffs’ request and ORDERS as follows:

9 **The 25-page limit for opposition to the pending motions to dismiss is ENLARGED to 40 pages.**
10 The plaintiffs in each of the above-titled actions may file an opposition up to and including 40 pages in
11 length. The Court grants this enlargement to allow the plaintiffs to address all of the issues raised by
12 the interested parties in this action.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 **Dated: May 10, 2010**

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28