(PC) Hughes v. Cir	ty of Mariposa et al I	Doc. 58	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9			
10	BERNARD C. HUGHES,	1:09-cv-02249-GSA-PC	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO RE-	
12	vs.	SERVE SECOND INFORMATIONAL ORDER WITH RAND NOTICE UPON	
13	CITY OF MARIPOSA, et al.,	PLAINTIFF ———————————————————————————————————	
14		(Doc. 52-1.)	
15	Defendants.	1	
16	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.		
17	On February 24, 2012, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action for Plaintiff's failure to exhaust		
18	administrative remedies. (Doc. 53.) By this motion, Defendants seek to dismiss this action in its		
19	entirety.		
20	On December 22, 2011, the Court issued the Second Informational Order, Motion to Dismiss		
21	Notice, and Summary Judgment Notice in this action, providing Plaintiff with notice pursuant to <u>Rand</u>		
22	v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998), advising Plaintiff of his rights and responsibilities to oppose		
23	a motion to dismiss such as that filed by Defendants in this action. (Doc. 52-1.) At this juncture,		
24	Plaintiff shall be provided with another copy of the Order/Notice. Woods v. Carey, No. 09-15548, 09-		
25	16113, 2012 WL 2626912 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012). Plaintiff is advised to immediately review the		
26	Order/Notice in its entirety for information pertaining to his opposition to Defendants' pending motion		
27	to dismiss.		
28		1	

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall serve another copy of the Second
2	Informational Order, Motion to Dismiss Notice and Summary Judgment Notice (Doc. 52-1) upon
3	Plaintiff, for his review.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: July 9, 2012 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2