

1 Notably, in the original scheduling order, the Court ordered that non-dispositive motions,
2 including discovery motions, were to be filed no later than March 16, 2012. (Doc. 95 at 7) Though the
3 scheduling order was amended twice (Docs. 100, 114), the Court was never asked to amend the order
4 as it related to the filing of non-dispositive motions and the date for doing so has never been extended.

5 In any event, good cause appearing, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 6 1. Plaintiffs' request for an order shortening time is **GRANTED**;
- 7 2. Plaintiffs' are relieved of their obligation to participate in a pre-filing conference with
8 the Court before filing their motion to amend the scheduling order and their discovery motion;
- 9 3. Plaintiffs **SHALL** file their motion to amend the scheduling order¹ concurrently with
10 their discovery motion no later than May 11, 2012². Plaintiff **SHALL** serve the motion(s) to
11 Defendant via overnight mail, so that it is delivered no later than May 11, 2012;
- 12 4. Opposition to the motion(s), **SHALL** be filed no later than May 21, 2012;
- 13 5. No reply **SHALL** be filed;
- 14 6. The motions **SHALL** be heard on May 25, 2012 at 8:30 a.m., at the United States
15 Bankruptcy Courtroom located at 1300 18th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel are encouraged
16 to appear by telephone. Should they choose to do so, they **SHALL** notify the Court of this intention
17 via an e-mail to JLTOOrders@caed.uscourts.gov, no later than May 18, 2012.

18
19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: May 7, 2012

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23
24
25
26
27 ¹ The Court will first consider whether Plaintiff's have demonstrated good cause to amend the scheduling order to allow a
28 non-dispositive motion to be considered out-of-tome. Only if this showing is made, will the Court consider the discovery
motion.

² Counsel is reminded that pretrial, nondispositive motions are to be heard by Judge Thurston rather than Judge Ishii.