1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 LEROY DEWITT HUNTER, 1:10-cv-00096-OWW-MJS (PC) 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE 14 OF CALIFORNIA, et al., (ECF No. 13) 15 Defendants. 16 17 On July 12, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. 18 Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. 19 Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent 20 Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). In certain exceptional 21 circumstances the Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 23 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. However, without a reasonable method of securing and 24 compensating counsel, the Court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and 25 exceptional cases. In determining whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must 26 evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotation 27 28 marks and citations omitted).

1	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if
2	it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations
3	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with
4	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a
5	determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Finally, based on a review of the
6	record in this case, the Court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. Id.
7	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel [ECF No. 13]
8	is DENIED.
9	
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	Dated: July 16, 2010 <u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	ONTIED STATES WASISTICKTE SOBSE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28