

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
910 STEVEN DALE BELL, 1:10-cv-00109-OWW-DLB (HC)  
11 Petitioner,  
12 v.  
13 JAMES HARTLEY, Warden  
14 Respondent. /15 ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S  
16 REQUEST TO SUBMIT A SUPPLEMENTAL  
17 BRIEF AND GRANTING RESPONDENT'S  
18 REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
19 FILE A RESPONSE  
20 [Docs. 12 & 13]21 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus  
22 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.23 Petitioner filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus on January 22, 2010, and  
24 challenges a November 6, 2008 decision by the California Board of Parole Hearings denying him  
25 parole. Respondent filed an Answer to the Petition on April 2, 2010, and Petitioner filed a  
26 traverse on May 3, 2010.27 On May 11, 2010, Petitioner requested leave to present supplemental briefing in light of  
28 the Ninth Circuit's recent decision in Hayward v. Marshall, 2010 WL 1664977 (9th Cir. 2010).  
Petitioner attached the supplemental brief to his request and applied Hayward to the facts of his  
case.29 In light of the recent decision in Hayward, the Court will grant Petitioner's request to  
30 submit a supplemental brief and it will be considered when a determination of the merits is  
31 rendered.

1        Respondent has requested a thirty day extension of time to respond to Petitioner's  
2 supplemental brief, if such request is granted by the Court. Having presented good cause,  
3 Respondent's request for an extension of time is GRANTED, and a response shall be filed within  
4 thirty days from the date of service of this order.

5        IT IS SO ORDERED.

6        **Dated: June 7, 2010**

7        **/s/ Dennis L. Beck**  
8        UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28