

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8  
9 RANDOLPH E. GARAUX,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00146-SMS

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
11 SERVICE OF PROCESS BY UNITED STATES  
MARSHALL AS PREMATURE

v.

12 CATE, et al.,

(Doc. 10.)

13 Defendants.  
1415 Plaintiff Randolph E. Garaux, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in  
16 this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the complaint upon which this  
17 action proceeds on January 29, 2010, and the court granted plaintiff leave to proceed in forma  
18 pauperis on February 3, 2010. Now pending before the court is plaintiff's request that the United  
19 States Marshal be directed to serve the complaint on defendants, filed March 30, 2010.20 Plaintiff is advised that the court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners  
21 seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28  
22 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised  
23 claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be  
24 granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C.  
25 § 1915A(b)(1),(2). "Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid,  
26 the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or appeal . . .  
27 fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

28 The court will direct the United States Marshal to serve the complaint only after it has

1 determined that the complaint contains cognizable claims for relief against the named defendants.  
2 The court has not yet screened plaintiff's complaint, but will do so in due course. Until the court has  
3 screened the complaint, any request for service by the Marshal is premature and will be denied.

4 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Service of  
5 Process by United States Marshall, filed March 30, 2010, is DENIED.

6  
7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: April 5, 2010

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28