

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ROCKY MOUNTAIN FARMERS UNION,  
REDWOOD COUNTY MINNESOTA CORN  
AND SOYBEAN GROWERS, PENNY  
NEWMAN GRAIN, INC., GROWTH ENERGY,  
RENEWABLE FUELS ASSOCIATION, REX  
NEDEREND, FRESNO COUNTY FARM  
BUREAU, NISEI FARMERS LEAGUE, and  
CALIFORNIA DAIRY CAMPAIGN,

CASE NO. CV-F-09-2234 LJO DLB

**ORDER TO ENLARGE PAGE LIMITS**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JAMES N. GOLDSTENE, Executive Officer  
of the California Air Resources Board,

Defendants.

---

NATIONAL PETROCHEMICAL &  
REFINERS ASSOCIATION, AMERICAN  
TRUCKING ASSOCIATIONS, CENTER  
FOR NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY  
SECURITY, and THE CONSUMER  
ENERGY ALLIANCE,

CASE NO. CV-F-10-163 LJO DLB

**ORDER TO ENLARGE PAGE LIMITS**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JAMES GOLDSTENE, Executive Officer  
of the California Air Resources Board,  
MARY D. NICHOLS, DANIEL SPERLING,  
KEN YEAGER, DORENE D'ADAMO,  
BARBARA RIORDAN, JOHN R. BALMES,  
LYDIA H. KENNARD, SANDRA BERG,  
RON ROBERTS, RONALD O.  
LOVERIDGE, member of the California  
Air Resources Board; ARNOLD  
SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor of the  
state of California, and EDMUND BROWN,  
Attorney General of the state of California,

Defendants.

---

and related intervenor actions and amici.

---

1           On May 7, 2010, plaintiffs Rocky Mountain Farmers Union, Redwood County Minnesota Corn  
2 and Soybean Growers, Penny Newman Grain, Inc., Growth Energy, Renewable Fuels Association, Rex  
3 Nederend, Nisei Farmers League, Fresno County Farm Bureau, and the California Dairy Campaign  
4 (“plaintiffs”) requested to file an enlarged brief in opposition to the pending motion to dismiss.  
5 Plaintiffs contend that an enlargement is necessary because they will be required to discuss the extensive  
6 legislative history underlying the low carbon fuel standard at issue in this case, the lengthy regulatory  
7 proceedings before the California Air Resources Board, and the applicable case law. For good cause  
8 appearing, this Court GRANTS in part plaintiffs’ request and ORDERS as follows:

9 **The 25-page limit for opposition to the pending motions to dismiss is ENLARGED to 40 pages.**  
10 The plaintiffs in each of the above-titled actions may file an opposition up to and including 40 pages in  
11 length. The Court grants this enlargement to allow the plaintiffs to address all of the issues raised by  
12 the interested parties in this action.

13           IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 **Dated: May 10, 2010**

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28