(PC) Hysell v	v. Yates et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	LIMITED CTA	TEC DICTRICT COURT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	DOUGLAS HYSELL,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-00192-SMS PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
11	v.	REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM RESPONDING TO THE COMPLAINT, AND FOR A THIRTY DAY EXTENSION OF TIME
12	JAMES YATES, et al.,	TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT, TO COMMENCE UPON ISSUANCE OF
13	Defendants.	SCREENING ORDER
14		(Doc. 1)
15		<del></del> '
16	Plaintiff Douglas Hysell is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil action. Defendants	
17	Yates, Allen, C. Huckabay, Steel, D. Huckabay, Andrade, and Santos removed this action from	
18	Fresno County Superior Court on February 5, 2010. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b). Defendants request to be	
19	relieved of their obligation to respond to the complaint pending screening by the Court pursuant to	
20	28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c). Defendants seek a thirty day extension of time to respond	
21	to the complaint, to commence upon issuance of the screening order.	
22	Defendants' request is HEREBY GRANTED, and Defendants shall have thirty (30) days	
23	to file a response to the complaint, to commence upon issuance of the screening order.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: February 8, 2010	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
		1

Doc. 4