1						
1 2						
2						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11	CARLOS ALBERT MUNOZ,) 1:10-CV-00206 GSA HC					
12	Petitioner,) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PETITION					
13	v. () CRDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHT PETITION) SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR) FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT					
14) ORDER					
15	PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA,					
16	Respondent.					
17	,					
18	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus					
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.					
20	On February 10, 2010, a court order was issued in this case wherein Petitioner was directed					
21	to file a completed consent/decline form within thirty (30) days of the date of service of the order.					
22	Thirty days passed and Petitioner did not comply. On March 29, 2010, a second order was issued					
23	directing Petitioner to complete and submit a consent/decline form within thirty (30) days. Those					
24	thirty days have now passed and Petitioner has not complied.					
25	Local Rule 110 provides: "Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with					
26	any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions					
27	authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."					
28	Accordingly, Petitioner is HEREBY ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE, within fourteen (14)					
		1				

1	1 days of service of this order, why the petition should not be dismissed for failure to comply wit					
2						
3						
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
5	Dated:	May 4, 2010	/s/ Gary S	<mark>5. Austin</mark> 5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
6			UNITED STATE:	S MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
U.S. District Court E. D. California	cd		2			