1	Anthony N. DeMaria, # 177894	(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)	
2	Anil Pai, # 234189 McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard,		
3	Wayte & Carruth LLP P.O. Box 28912		
4	5 River Park Place East Fresno, CA 93720-1501		
5	Telephone: (559) 433-1300 Facsimile: (559) 433-2300		
6	Attorneys for Defendants		
7	SOUTH FORK UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT; ROBIN SHIVE; SHANNON DAMRON; KAREN ZURIN; and SABINE MIXION		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		NO DIVISION	
11	TILLOI		
12	GRACIE DARLENE MCCUE,	Case No. 1:10-CV-00233-LJO-MJS	
13	LAWRENCE GENE MCCUE, and P.M.,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
14	by and through his Guardian Ad Litem, GRACIE DARLENE MCCUE,	EXTENDING THE DEADLINES IN AMENDED SCHEDULING CONFERENCE	
15	Plaintiffs,	ORDER (DOC NO. 78)	
16	v.		
17	SOUTH FORK UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT, a public entity; ROBIN		
18	SHIVE, a public employee; SHANNON DAMRON, a public employee; KAREN		
19	ZURIN, a public employee, SABINE		
20	MIXION, a public employee; KERN COUNTY; CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES aka KERN COUNTY CHILD		
21	PROTECTIVE SERVICES aka KERN COUTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN		
22	SERVICES, a public entity; LINDA LOPEZ, a public employee; GABRIELA		
23	JOHNSON, a public employee; KERN		
24	COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT, a public entity; JAMES D. STRATTON, a		
25	public employee; RICK KOERNKE, an individual; SANDY KOERNKE, an		
26	individual; AND PERSONS AND ENTITIES UNKNOWN AND DOES 1-		
27	100 INCLUSIVE,		
28	Defendants.		
estow.			

1

4

8

9

11

1213

15 16

14

17

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26

2728

The parties hereto, after conferring through their respective attorneys of record, stipulate and agree, in the above-entitled matter, to the following date changes:

As the parties have been unable to complete discovery due to the calendars of the attorneys and the volume of discovery required in this litigation, good cause under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 16 exists for the modification of the scheduling order. Despite the diligence of the parties, it will not be possible to complete the discovery necessary according to the currently scheduled dates. Specifically, there are over forty depositions still to be taken in this litigation, including at least twenty-nine of healthcare providers who have proven difficult to schedule, serve or otherwise accommodate for deposition. Since deposition discovery began in this case, over fifteen depositions have been taken to date. Another thirty-two depositions have already been noticed for dates between January 16, 2012 and the current non-expert discovery cut-off of March 15, 2012. These depositions include those of the plaintiffs and numerous healthcare providers, which will likely lead to the identification of additional witnesses and the need for additional depositions. In part resulting from the schedules of many of these healthcare providers, it will not be possible to complete discovery under the currently scheduled deadlines. Moreover, there are additional parties and witnesses who have been disclosed in Rule 26 disclosures whose depositions have not yet been scheduled, and given the availability of the attorneys, it will not be possible to complete these depositions under the currently scheduled deadlines.

The parties, therefore, stipulate and agree that the Trial date, Pre-Trial date, Settlement Conference date and other deadlines previously set by this Court be extended as follows:

- (1) That the Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off date be extended to July 15, 2012; and
- (2) That the Expert Discovery Cut-Off be extended to August 7, 2012; and
- (3) That the Non-Dispositive Pretrial Motion Cut-Off be extended to August 25, 2012;
- (4) That the deadline for the filing of Dispositive Motions be continued to August 30,2012;
- (5) That the hearings on Dispositive Motions take place on September 30, 2012, or another date convenient with the Court's calendar;

28

3

1	Dated: January 24, 2012	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT R. POWELL
2		/s/ Robert R. Powell
3		Robert R. Powell, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs
4	Dated: January 24, 2012	McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
5		
6		/s/ Anil Pai Anil Pai
7		Attorneys for Defendants, SOUTH FORK UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT; ROBIN
8 9		SHIVE; SHANNON DAMRON; KAREN ZURIN; and SABINE MIXION
10	Dated: January 23, 2012	NORIEGA & ASSOCIATES
11		/s/ Robert Noriega
12		Robert J. Noriega
13		Attorneys for Defendants RICK KOERNKE, SANDY KOERNKE
14	Dated: January 24, 2012	COUNTY OF KERN
15		/s/ Mark Nations
16		Mark Nations, Chief Deputy Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF KERN
17		Attorneys for Defendant COUNTT OF KERN
18	<u>ORDER</u>	
19	The Court hereby orders that the terms of the above stipulation be put into effect with the	
20	following dates:	
21	(1) Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: July 15, 2012;	
22	(2) Expert Discovery Cut-Of: August 7, 2012;	
23	(3) Non-Dispositive Pretrial Motion Cut-Off: August 25, 2012;	
24	(4) Deadline for the filing of Dispositive Motions: August 30, 2012;	
25	(5) Hearings on Dispositive Motions: September 30, 2012;	
26	(6) Settlement Conference: October 26, 2012, at 1:30 p.m.;	
27	(7) Pre-Trial Conference: December 18, 2012, at 8:15 a.m.;	
28		4
RSTOW, YTE & LP	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING DE	EADLINES IN SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER

McCormick, Barstow SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP

1			
2	(8) Trial: January 29, 2013, at 8:30 a.m.		
3			
4	The parties are reminded that the caseload facing the District Court Judges sitting in this		
5	division of the Eastern District of California is overwhelming. While that may have enable		
6	liberality in approving the time extensions provided for here, it may preclude flexibility in th		
7	future. If flexibility and/or a committed, reasonably early, trial date are important to the parties		
8	they might wish to reconsider and consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15			
16	Dated: <u>January 30, 2012</u> /s/ <i>Michael J. Seng</i>		
17	UNITED STATES MÄGISTRATE JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	5		