Wallen v. Aceves et al

Doc. 24

1	1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in
2	open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro.
3	41(a)(1)(ii); <u>Eitel</u> , 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule
4	41(a) (1) (ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and
5	does not require judicial approval." <u>In re Wolf</u> , 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); <u>Gardiner v.</u>
6	A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG,
7	377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074,
8	1077 (9th Cir. 1999) cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)
9	(addressing Rule 41(a)(1) dismissals). "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants,
10	or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically
11	terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice." Wilson, 111 F.3d
12	at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).
13	Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under
14	Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has
15	terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466; Gardiner, 747
16	F.2d at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf
17	<u>Wilson</u> , 111 F.3d at 692.
18	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is ordered to close this case in light
19	of the filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) Stipulation Of Dismissal With Prejudice.
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated: July 10, 2010
23	Dated: July 19, 2010 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	
26	