(HC) Gracia v. Hav	NS I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	ROBERT MEJIA GRACIA,	1:10-cv-00273-YNP-DLB (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ODDED DENVING MOTION FOR
11	VS.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	F. HAWS,	(D.O.GV.) (F2.VF. (IA))
13	Respondent.	(DOCUMENT #3)
14		
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
16	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d	
17	479, 481 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 889 (1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.),	
18	cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment	
19	of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules	
20	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of	
21	justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS	
22	HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: February 24, 2010	/s/ Dennis L. Beck
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
_ 0		

Doc. 6