1	Philip C. Bourdette SBN 47492
2	Miriam Bourdette SBN 151980
2	BOURDETTE & PARTNERS
3	2924 West Main Street
4	Visalia, CA 93291 (559) 625-8425
	Fax (559) 625-8491
5	pcbb@bourdettelaw.com
6	mrbb@bourdettelaw.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
/	Simons A. Farriss SDN 171709
8	Simona A. Farrise SBN 171708 Leigh Kirmsse SBN 160967
9	Farrise Firm PC
10	225 South Olive St., Ste 102
10	Los Angeles, CA 90012
11	310-424-3355
12	FAX 510-588-4536 sfarrise@farriselaw.com
	lkirmsse@farriselaw.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	
15	Sharon J.Arkin SBN #154858 The Arkin Law Firm
	333 South Grand Avenue, 25 th Floor
16	Los Angeles, CA 90071
17	(541) 469-2892
18	FAX: 866) 571-5676
10	sarkin@arkinlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiffs
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
23	EASTEDN DISTRICT OF CALLEODNIA
24	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
121	FRESNO DIVISION
25	
26	
27	
28	
28	
	1

LOIS ZANYK,) Case No.: 1:10-cv-00287-JRG-DLB
)
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
WYETH LLC, et al.)
Defendants.)
)
CARLEEN WEST,) Case No.: 1:10-cv-00289-JRG-DLB
CINCLEIT WEDT,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
WYETH LLC, et al.	,)
)
Defendants.)
)
PAMELA TURNER,) Case No.: 1:10-cv-00288-JRG-DLB
)
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
WYETH LLC, et al.)
Defendants)
)
BOBBIE BAUMANN) Case No.: 1:04-cv-06347-JRG-DLB
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
WYETH LLC. et al)
Defendants.)
)

LUCILLE MACIAS, by and through her Survivor in interest Rhonda Baber
Plaintiff,
vs.
WYETH LLC, et al.

Defendants.

ORDER RE MOTIONS TO MODIFY COURT'S SCHEDULING ORDERS

)

On January 10, 2012, counsel filed in each of the above styled cases "Notice of Motion to Modify Scheduling Order, Declaration of Miriam Bourdette and Proposed Order." The court construes these notices as motions to modify scheduling orders.

Counsel stated that when preparing the Joint Scheduling Order they made date calculation errors. They failed to take into account Labor Day, Monday September 5, 2012, and Columbus Day October 8, 2012, on which days the courts are closed and Rosh Hashanah and Yom Kippur, the highest of the Jewish Holidays and on which days work is forbidden on September 17, 18, 25 (fast begins) and 26, 2012.

Exhibit A of the filing included a table showing current trial dates and proposed modifications. The trials, as proposed, are still limited to the 10 (ten) days the court ordered for each plaintiff and start the day after the preceding trial, even when in the middle of the week. As plaintiffs Baumann and Macias are deceased and will not be testifying live, their trials were further condensed.

The motions to modify scheduling orders are hereby **GRANTED** to adjust trial dates for the above styled cases in order to take into account the holidays mentioned, condensed

trials for plaintiffs Baumann and Macias and other scheduling conflicts of the court. The
trials for remand group 1 will proceed as follows:
• Zanyk v. Wyeth, case number 1-10-cv-00287-JRG, trial dates July 30, 2012 -
August 10, 2012;
• West v. Wyeth, case number 1-10-cv-00289-JRG, trial dates August 13, 2012-
August 24, 2012;
• Turner v. Wyeth, case number 1-10-cv-00288-JRG, trial dates August 27, 2012-
September 10, 2012;
• Baumann v. Wyeth, case number 1-04-cv-06347-JRG, trial dates September 11,
2012- September 21, 2012;
• Macias v. Wyeth, case number 1-10-cv-00282-JRG, trial dates October 9, 2012
– October 19, 2012.
The trials will be no longer than 10 (ten) working days. After seven years of discovery
and extensive experience trying these cases there should be no "unforeseen interference" for
which the parties cannot adjust. If a case does not require the entire time allotted for trial
after the evidence is complete, the next trial will start even if there is a deliberating jury in
the preceding case. If a case settles prior to trial, the next scheduled case will immediately
move up and commence on the trial dates established for the settling case.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: January 11, 2012
\square