

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO DIVISION**

9 SIMON V. GARCIA and ROY VAN KEMPEN on)
10 behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated.)

11 Plaintiffs,)

12 vs.)

13 GORDON TRUCKING, INC., a California)
14 Corporation, which will transact business in)
15 California as L GORDON; STEVE GORDON,)
16 an individual; BOB GOLDBERG, an individual; and)
17 Does 1 through 20,)
18 Inclusive,)

19 Defendants.)

20

CHRISTOPHER YANEZ and EMMA YANEZ on)
21 behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,)
22 Plaintiffs,)

23 vs.)

24 GORDON TRUCKING, INC., a Washington)
25 corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive)

26 Defendants.)
27

)
28

Case No. 1:10-cv-00324-AWI-SKO

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
CORRECTED ANSWER**

Docket No. 128

On December 15, 2011, Defendants Gordon Trucking, Inc., Steve Gordon, and Bob Goldberg (“Defendants”) filed a motion seeking to amend their Answer (Doc. 88) to correct a “scrivener’s error.” (Doc. 128.)

1 In Defendants' Answer (Doc. 88), to Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint (Doc. 72) the
2 Sixth Affirmative Defense includes a citation to the "Interstate Commerce Termination Act of
3 1995, 49 U.S.C. § 14501(c)(1)." The citation in the Sixth Affirmative Defense should read
4 "Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act of 1994, 49 U.S.C. § 14501(c)(1)." No
5 opposition to Defendants' motion was filed.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7
- 8 1. Defendants Motion for Leave to File Corrected Answer is GRANTED; and
 - 9 2. Defendants shall file the corrected Answer attached as Exhibit A to their Motion for
10 Leave to File Corrected Answer as required by Local Rule 220.

11
12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: December 21, 2011

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE